

Strategic Planning Committee – 30th July 2014

Updates to Agenda Item 5 – ABP Master Plan

Written question from Mrs Dawn Taylor-Cox

The following question has been received –

I have noticed a conflict in the supporting documents of the Army Master Plan that you have attached to the above meeting's agenda that I would like clarified as I am a resident of the road in question: Newman's Way, Bulford, Wiltshire.

In the Strategic Planning Committee Report (Version 5) Section 5.4, it clearly states that road access from Newman's Way to the new SFA is being considered. However on Page 7 in Appendix 2 to this report in response to Peter Wicks (Ref 175 and 178), it also clearly states that the SFA will not be accessed through Newman's Way. I would like the Committee to get full details of what is intended for Newman's Way in the proposed Masterplan.

The final Master Plan states the following (page 77):

The Bulford proposals plan 1 identifies a site off Bulford Road which could accommodate all 277 SFA, together with land for a public open space. The plan retains the existing footpaths and provides a landscape buffer around the archaeological assets on the land. Lower density housing would be located on the southern boundary of the site adjacent the open fields. A new road access provided close to the Canadian Estate off Bulford Road and from the south off Double Hedges Road or through the adjacent site B9, accessed from Newmans Way.

The SCI response (Appendix 2 of Committee Report, page 7) states the following:

New development will be served by an access off Bulford Road. An option to create an access from Double Hedges is also being explored. The site will not be accessed through Newmans Way.

In response DIO states the following:

The original representation was received by post from Peter Wickes of Newmans Way and was dated 12/03/14 in response to the public consultation period Feb-April. The drawing exhibited for Bulford at that time showed the preferred and potential sites only with no layouts.

The response to Mr Wickes' comment was written soon after closure of the consultation period and represents a point in time before the now chosen SFA site was subject to the preliminary design process. As can be seen from the response concerning Double Hedges access ('An option to create an access from Double Hedges is also being explored') in

comparison with what is in the Master Plan ('A new road access provided and from the south off Double Hedges Road') the response was written in the period after the consultation period closure in April and at early stage in the design process.

The responses to the Feb-Apr consultation were not revisited and altered.

When the SFA site drawing showing the accesses was published in May no response was received from Mr Wickes via post or the AB email address.

The Master Plan is the correct version per the design work done after the Feb-Apr consultation in that an access onto Newmans Way is an option. With preferred options being the northern junction and a southern one onto Double Hedges road an access onto Newmans Way is not currently envisaged. However, there is detailed design, junction and traffic assessments to be carried out prior to planning application submission which may necessitate change to estate access proposals to provide the optimum solution.

Access is a detailed design matter, to be considered at the planning application stage.

Corrections to Committee report

1. Page 5, paragraph 4.4: In the SFA table "Upavon" should read "Ludgershall".
2. Page 37, paragraph 9.61: The quote from the Master Plan should read as follows:

"New SFA will need to be supported by appropriate community infrastructure to enable it to become part of a balanced community. The potential provision of further school places, retailing, community facilities and public open space will take account of the level of facilities currently available at each location. The emphasis is on building places and not just houses. The provision of additional central government funding for new community facilities is the subject of ongoing discussion".

Late Items

The following late items have been received –

1. Email from David Snelgrove of DIO, dated 25/07/14, relating to water efficiency - latest position (at annex 1)
2. Letter from David Alderson of WYG (DIO planning agent), dated 28/07/14, relating to water efficiency, water discharge and recreational pressure on protected species - latest positions (at annex 2)
3. Statement by Lieutenant Colonel JS Fox RE of Army Basing Team, dated 25/07/14, relating to GP services –

The provision of GP Services for Military personnel and their dependants has been taken into account by both the MOD and the NHS as part of the rebasing programme across Salisbury Plain. All military personnel GP services are provided for through military doctors and primary health practitioners and a proportion of the dependant population will be included in this Military provision. Those dependants who elect not to register with a Military GP practice, (or who live outside of the DMS catchment

parameter) will have their GP services provided by the NHS locally and work is currently underway to plan and fulfil this additional requirement.

4. Letter from Debra Elliot of NHS, dated 28/07/2014 relating to shared NHS/DMS facilities (at annex 3)